



Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership

QUESTIONS FROM SURVEY HANDED OUT AT EVENTS

QUESTION 1

- What is your understanding of the Geological Disposal Facility (GDF) siting process?

QUESTION 2

- What is your understanding of the role of the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership?

QUESTION 3

- What do you consider to be the social, economic and environmental priorities and challenges within the Community?

QUESTION 4

- The Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership is committed to engaging and facilitating discussions with members of the public within the Mid Copeland community. In what formats would you propose the GDF engagement team use to engage with the wider community? Are there any groups, clubs and organisations you would like to introduce us to or approach?

QUESTION 5

- Currently the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership is made up of the GDF developer, Copeland Borough Council as the Relevant Principal Local Authority, CALC and parish council representatives. In order for the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership to be reflective of the community and to be the vehicle for sharing information, what other organisations or individuals would you like to see as a member of the Community Partnership?

QUESTION 6

- We would like to hold further public events in May and invite specific Subject Matter Experts (SME) to come along and answer questions the community may have. What topic is of interest to you, and do you feel it would be beneficial to have an SME at the next events (e.g. seismic, property value, geology, community investment funding etc)?

QUESTION 7

- What is your understanding of the significant additional investment package and the types of skills and jobs a GDF could bring to a community?

QUESTION 8

- Would you be interested in taking part in any future focus groups the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership may arrange?

QUESTION 9

- Is there anything else you would like to ask the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership?



QUESTION 1

What is your understanding of the Geological Disposal Facility (GDF) siting process?

- I am OK with it as long as its not very offensive on land and the main transport is via rail and not on our small roads.
- Fairly good.
- To give information on the location of the possible siting and to get an impression of public support.
- Investigation of site suitable for safely building and maintaining a GDF.
- A preliminary investigation into suitability of location and public support.
- Somewhere to store waste.
- I have had a brief introduction.
- That you are trying to impose a GDF on an area that is unsuitable.
- That you are doing it to “create jobs” and for profit.
- It will be investigating very carefully and in conjunction with what the community would want.
- In its infancy, lots of input in community.
- Lengthy.
- Consultative.
- Developed as going on.
- Feels (unfortunately) like a rehash of the past 20 years (whether this is fair or not Im not sure but this “issue” has gone on in this area its how it feels).
- My understanding is that a site is being looked for nationally to store nuclear waste safely in the long term. Different areas are being considered and Community Partnerships being set up to consider options.
- Allegedly subject to a local decision but who knows. However, this facility should go to the best national level site and not to the community most willing to prostitute itself.
- The Locals have to be consulted and have a referendum if they are chosen.
- To inform local residents near possible GDF sites and if possible gain their approval.
- Survey the area.
- Consult the Community and inform.



QUESTION 2

What is your understanding of the role of the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership?

- Gathering public concerns and informing the public.
- Good.
- To give information on the location of the possible siting and to get an impression of public support.
- To inform local communities of the purpose of the GDF and gaining support.
- Liaising with local population to inform.
- Coordinating ideas.
- I have had a brief introduction.
- In hoc to the business community.
- To inform the community.
- The local community has a voice and gets the correct information.
- Facilitating group for a range of stakeholders.
- This group is meant to include local representation in this process. Presumably is liaises with national bodies to consider whether this facility would be relevant to our area.
- A group of well meaning people who are likely to lead us down a path we are very likely to regret.
- To liaise with the people who wish to see if this is a suitable site.
- To facilitate the above in the Gosforth, Beckermeth, Seascale areas.
- To inform the community groups , Parish Councils etc.



QUESTION 3

What do you consider to be the social, economic and environmental priorities and challenges within the Community?

- Fear of reducing house prices.
- Inequality is a significant challenge.
- Improving and expanding natural habitats would be good.
- The main challenge will be convincing people of the safety and containment of the facility along with the security for the future.
- The local communities will have some reservations with a vocal minority. These tend to misunderstand about nuclear.
- The local economy will greatly benefit as seen with other large builds such as THORP.
- Potential for significant funding into the area, future jobs, training etc.
- Low employment
- Great geographical beauty nearby needs protecting.
- Longer term surface environment considerations.
- My concern is the amount of traffic which could affect the village. There is already unnecessary traffic from Sellafield everyday.
- I am also concerned about the amount of new building, office blocks and head works.
- What will happen about the roads. How much disruption will there be in the village.
- That this should not be imposed on West Cumbria.
- Transport, employment and aging rural community.
- Keeping local technology and buildings up to date.
- Limited bus service.
- Where do I start (walk around Whitehaven and you will see what I mean). Social deprivations.
- Lack of pride in area.
- Lack of diversity in industry.
- Lack of diversity in employers/funding.
- Too many talented young people leave the area and don't return.
- It concerns me deeply that many local people are fixated on economic issues and are very pro nuclear because of Sellafield's inflated salaries. They think that nuclear waste cannot harm them because radioactivity is invisible. Environmental priorities rarely figure in their thinking – until it is too late. Then they quickly complain about what they have lost.
- The wrong answers are being given to the right questions. The current view is to reinforce past failures at the expense of our common future. Copeland/Gosforth need to break with the dead technologies of the past and embrace a sustainable future.
- We would need to have any economic benefit spent very locally unlike what has happened previously.
- With more people and industrial vehicles approved there needs to be improvements to the roads as an urgent priority.
- Ensuring the disruption to adjacent communities is recognised.



QUESTION 3

What do you consider to be the social, economic and environmental priorities and challenges within the Community?

- They are solidified with the Safety Case. Find out the infrastructure improvements that adjacent parishes consider important.
- Funding of groups and Parish Councils.
- Long term, create jobs.



QUESTION 4

The Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership is committed to engaging and facilitating discussions with members of the public within the Mid Copeland community. In what formats would you propose the GDF engagement team use to engage with the wider community? Are there any groups, clubs and organisations you would like to introduce us to or approach?

- Parish Councils
- Local Community Organisations
- Expert Groups
- Some people in the village were not aware of this event.
- Could perhaps approach Parish Councils, WI Groups, Village Hall Committees to spread the word.
- New posters – Bullet points followed by easy to read leaflets.
- Discussions with any/all groups from WI/Golf Clubs/ Youth Groups.
- In person public consultation outside of working hours.
- I would like to make sure that there is independence on the 1 million pound budget for community projects. That Seascale Parish Council does not have anything to do with it or the Copeland Community Fund. That Sellafield has no involvement.
- Scout/Guide groups to target youth.
- The geological finding needs to be made public. No wool must be pulled over anyone's eyes.
- Give full access to the geology reports, safety case etc.
- Local meetings where anyone can have their say and the engagement team could discuss their plans.
- Organisations such as Rotary, 41 Club, WI, UBA etc would be a good place to see people who would not normally go to one of your meetings.
- Drop in session in local villages. Talks to- local organisations eg The Rotary Club of West Lakeland, West Lakes UBA, local branches of professional societies e.g. Royal Society of ?, Institute of Thermal Engineering on the more technical aspects as well as local Parish Councils.
- A general talk to groups UBA, Church chat groups, Young Farmers, WI etc.



QUESTION 5

Currently the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership is made up of the GDF developer, Copeland Borough Council as the Relevant Principal Local Authority, CALC and parish council representatives. In order for the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership to be reflective of the community and to be the vehicle for sharing information, what other organisations or individuals would you like to see as a member of the Community Partnership?

- Parish Councils
- Local Community Organisations
- Expert Groups
- Senior member from a Youth Organisation or from Secondary School/Sixth Form.
- Parish Councils
- I think we should have more community participation as I don't like relying on the Parish Council representatives.
- National Farmers Association.
- Any organisation which can give a more underpinned technical, engineering and safety assessments of the undertaking.
- What does the GDF actually physically look like?
- What happens with the spoil etc?
- What the outside safety case of the facility looks like, how it links to the geology and product quality?
- How will you assess "the GDF is safe" or safe enough (define what is meant by safe)?
- How GDF availability links to UK operations?
- Notice Thorp experience and other large capital projects in area, many workers only stay here during the week so financial benefit to the area is good, but not as much as might be thought.
- Definitely the CPRE, The National Trust, Friends of the Earth, Friends of the Lake District and Cumbria Wildlife Trust.
- Why are members of our community setting us up for a fall. The community should be prodding government to go for the best national site. They should not be selling us down the river and putting our heads on the chopping block.
- Have very local representatives as going to a single tier local government will mean there are many people in that organisation who have little interest or knowledge of the area.
- The surrounding parishes will be more effected so should the major say. The new single tier authority should have a minor involvement.



QUESTION 6

We would like to hold further public events in May and invite specific Subject Matter Experts (SME) to come along and answer questions the community may have. What topic is of interest to you, and do you feel it would be beneficial to have an SME at the next events (e.g. seismic, property value, geology, community investment funding etc)?

- Property value
- When understanding this about iron ore mines and what their presence means for the Search Area.
- Would the facility be ventilated? (HEPA)
- Security and Emergency response.
- Engineering feasibility.
- Funding.
- Geology. What geology is the right geology?
- Seismic survey results.
- Project Managers to discuss social impact.
- Infrastructure – what and how the local area is going to cope with major works.
- The economic impact, physical impact on location and reflecting how the community has responded so far.
- Careers – types of jobs. How you deliver skills.
- Geologist.
- CPRE, The National Trust, Friends of the Earth, Friends of the Lake District and Cumbria Wildlife Trust. Also experts in nature conservation, design, environmental mitigation etc.
- Full access must be given to the geological reports. Until the geology is known, the rest is unknown. If we are being sold out to nuclear then be assured of a through campaign against the dump.
- Someone who is expert on the geology under the sea,
- Someone who can be very specific as to the community funding being extremely local and have some idea of how much project costs.
- NIREX excluded the inshore area, but the GDF have included it. A presentation at a reasonable technical level would be valuable.
- Discovery group of UBA talk.



QUESTION 7

What is your understanding of the significant additional investment package and the types of skills and jobs a GDF could bring to a community?

- Investing during consultation period and long term skilled jobs.
- 1 – 2.5 million per year.
- Job opportunities during development followed by ops/maintenance.
- Civil Engineering and Builders.
- Other Civil Services, Electricians, Plumbers, Landscaping/Ground Services.
- Geological engineering skills.
- Support to schools, training programmes.
- Good.
- I have had a brief introduction.
- Community Investment Fund.
- Benefits to communities, investment ie to shops and supply chains.
- Additional investment package, 1 – 2.5 million which feels low but will depend on whether it is applied for.
- As for longer term benefits of a GDF, so far in future I will probably be dead by time spade hits the ground so add some earlier please?
- It would nothing but an unmitigated disaster. Any impact it would have on this area would be negative. We have been told that the community will be allowed a vote on whether to accept a GDF but what is “the community”? It concerns me that the population of Whitehaven etc will foist a GDF on Drigg. Only people in the immediate vicinity should be voting.
- Move waste down to nuclear drain if this is the wrong site. When will Copeland invest in a new future rather than reinforcing past failures.
- I assume the skilled jobs while the community can supply some will also include many all comers.
- R&D, Design, Safety Assessment, construction skills needed.
- Improved transport links for the adjacent communities.
- No idea.



QUESTION 8

Would you be interested in taking part in any future focus groups the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership may arrange?

- Yes
- Yes
- Yes, in a non technical way.
- Yes.
- Yes.
- No thanks.
- No, I would get far too upset and angry. It would be very detrimental to my mental health. The Partnership should be dissolved immediately. It should never have been formed.
- No, my time will be better spend discussing opposing strategies.
- Yes
- Yes, particular where technical aspects are considered (ex BNFL/NNL, R&D Manager)
- Sorry, No.



QUESTION 9

Is there anything else you would like to ask the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership?

- Not currently.
- No.
- Not at present.
- Where is the workforce going to come from?
- Housing, how will this be supplied?
- To deliver sessions evenings and weekends.
- Share information from abroad.
- What is the underpinning process for the facility safety case? How will this info feed into the Community Partnership information pack?
- Please, please withdraw from this crazy idea NOW. Either millions of pounds will be wasted on investigations which will prove what we already know, that our area is unsuitable or this disaster will be foisted on a naive community which will only realise what fools they have been when its too late.
- No.
- If the deposit does not come here will they make sure money is spent here to improve roads and rail communications with all the waste from Sellafield having to be moved.
- Even if the GDF is sited elsewhere, the partnership or equivalent should be continued, as a high proportion of GDF residents will need to be removed from Sellafield with significant consequences for the Mid Copeland area.



QUESTIONS COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP

1. Do you have an office where we could come and talk to you?
2. Is there currently only four Communities involved?
3. Cumbria County Council don't sit on the partnership, do they?
4. Does Cumbria County Council have a right to veto?
5. Community Partnership, will they have the right of veto?
6. When you say 'community' do you really mean 'community' or just a couple of Councillors?
7. Where are you all based?
8. Who is on the partnership?
9. What part does the Parish Council take in the process?
10. Is there a timeline of what we have to do in CP ie what stages we are at when?
11. Would you like a stall at Gosforth Show?



QUESTIONS GDF DEVELOPER

1. If you are part of the NWS do you work for LLWR?
2. Is there a single developer for all communities?
3. How does having LLWR nearby affect the GDF?
4. Is there no consideration of putting LLW & HLW in together?
5. How is the developer going to avoid mistakes made in the past?
6. Do you work for NWS?
7. Who do you work for?
8. Are we part of LLWR?
9. LLWR, what's the update on there proposals for waste disposal?
10. So you know nothing of near surface disposal?
11. Why aren't you talking about the near surface disposal?
12. What's all this about the SMR power stations?
13. Are you opposed to New Nuclear Power Stations?

QUESTIONS

COMMUNITY INVESTMENT FUND

1. How long does the CIF funding take?
2. What projects will the CIF funding cover? Will CIF funding cover a Church Organ as it's a specialist Organ that benefits the community!
3. How would I speak to someone about funding?
4. What's the funding for CIF all about?
5. Can the areas in National Park apply for funding?
6. Can people at Wasdale benefit from the CIF?
7. Who administers the CIF money?
8. If there money isn't spent does it go back ?
9. Does CIF funding have to be matched?
10. How will the funding bid be open to all the people and who oversees the distribution of the funds?
11. Can the CIF cover things associated with Churches and Church yards?
12. Can the CIF cover things for Schools?
13. What kind of project could you fund through the CIF funding?
14. Can we have a new road with the CIF funding (Drigg)?
15. What can the funding be used for?
16. Can the funding be used for Churches?
17. Your CIF applications are quite detailed are you giving any help?
18. Is there a timescale for using the fund that has been granted?
19. Will the CIF funding be equally distributed between the different values?
20. Has the panel been appointed for applications?
21. Is that a million pound per financial year or calendar year?
22. Will you be publishing successful grant awards?
23. Where do you get the application for CIF from?
24. If you get a grant, do you have to spend it by a certain time?
25. Can you print some funding applications for examples?
26. Do you spread the GDF funding equally across the areas?
27. Could the GDF funding cover environmental projects e.g natterjack toads, enhancing fields with wildflower meadows?
28. Are the GDF CIF application forms straightforward?
29. What sort of things could someone put in an application for CIF funding?
30. Some of these communities need more than £1 million per year



QUESTIONS GEOLOGY

1. Are you talking about IOM if you are looking out to sea?
2. What is the right geology?
3. What is the right geology?
4. What part does the Aquifer play in relation to the GDF?
5. Are they digging boreholes in Calder Abbey area?
6. I agree with the GDF it needs to be built in adequate geology and near as possible to Sellafield.
7. Are they doing any fracking in the area?
8. When does the onshore assessments start?
9. On the local Facebook pages you have people expressing their concerns about being here before and the geology not being right. What happen last time with Nirex?
10. Will you take into account movement of the seabed and the marine life in the area?
11. Ultimately it will come down to the four communities having the best geology. Is that how they will choose the site?
12. Have you got the information from NIREX?
13. Nirex went very deep (boreholes), so what's going to happen out to sea?
14. Q's about NIREX and Longlands and that the Geology wasn't appropriate?
15. Would like to know how it would affect the environment?



QUESTIONS

MARINE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS (SEISMIC)

1. When will they (seismic) happen?
2. We need to know about seismic survey.
3. You are saying that conversations could go on for up to 10-15 years but if the seismic report shows it is not feasible, what happens then?
4. When are you doing the seismic surveys?
5. Will the Community Engagement team be out on the seismic vessel? I have seen people working out to sea, is that your team?
6. Seismic will be intrusive to Marine wildlife
7. We need to know about Seismic survey and think it would be a good idea to do talks to groups about it.
8. What will your seismic survey include?
9. How do South Copeland fit into the seismic surveying, what's their patch?



QUESTIONS CONSTRUCTION/SITING

1. It needs to be done, I am just worried about the disturbance of the build i.e. noise, traffic
2. Is there only going to be one GDF built?
3. If you have the headworks in Mid Copeland, how will the affect the possibility of SMR's on Moorside?
4. Where with the access be if a GDF is Inshore?
5. What are the overall siting costs?
6. Can we have a GDF without headworks?
7. Where would the head works be ?
8. Have you discounted having a GDF on land?
9. How long will the process take to construct?
10. What effect will the GDF in the sea have on the IOM? What timescales are you looking at to design this?
11. If you are putting this out to sea, where is the entrance?
12. Where are you putting a GDF?
13. Are you going to put the headworks on Moorside?
14. Is anything visible above ground?
15. Are you building in or under land in LDNP?
16. How far underground are you going to put a GDF?
17. Where would the spoil go?
18. Where is the GDF going to be exactly?
19. How will the spoil be dealt with?
20. What will the head works look like?
21. I assume you are talking to Eskmeals?
22. What is the timescale for this?
23. What stage in the process are we at?
24. Why is the LDNP included in the search area if you are not going to build there ?
25. What steps do we have to go through in the next 10-15 years?
26. How far are we with Theddlethorpe?
27. What other Countries involved?



QUESTIONS INVENTORY

1. Is the idea to take the waste from Drigg?
2. Is it only UK waste that is going into the GDF?
3. This will include current waste, what about future waste that will be generated?
4. Is it just UK waste or are you storing international waste?
5. You said 80% of the waste is here, is that global waste or just UK's?
6. Is it just high and medium waste that will go in a GDF
7. Is this for UK waste?
8. What's happening to the waste in the meantime, whilst we wait for a GDF to be built?

QUESTIONS

INFRASTRUCTURE AND ECONOMICS

1. Will you upgrade the infrastructure here if the GDF is built here?
2. How would we move the waste if its not in Copeland?
3. Are you taking into consideration the Railway situ?
4. How many jobs will come from the GDF instruction and running of it?
5. Would a harbour be part of this?
6. How will the waste come to the GDF?
7. People will complain about this but they complained about Sellafield and it does bring jobs into the area.
8. Have they not thought about what they have done in Chernobyl – encasing it?
9. Does the headworks link to the railways?
10. Do you liaise much with Isle of Man local government?
11. Has there been any thoughts to transporting it (the waste) if it is not here (Mid Copeland)



QUESTIONS PVP

1. When is the PVP SME coming?
2. Will anyone lose their houses over this?
3. Will the government protect property value if something goes wrong with the GDF and they have to leave the area?
4. I want to speak to PVP scheme SME's at next events



QUESTIONS COMMUNITY

1. How do you take emotional responses into account?
2. What is the Community?
3. Will there be a referendum?
4. Who organises the TOPS?
5. How will the community vote?
6. Will you have a continued test of public support every 5 years?
7. How much information will the public have to vote?
8. How will the Community vote?
9. Where else is there a GDF in other Countries?
10. How many other regions have been put forward?
11. Does the IOM have the right of veto?
12. How far along is Theddlethorpe?
13. Any other information on GDF?
14. What has the Community reaction been so far to a GDF?
15. Are CNC protecting the site?
16. You said communities get a say but if it's only a small headworks (covering a small area), you could have a lot of communities voting. Could the communities shrink over time?
17. If the government changes, how would it affect this process?
18. All communities could say no. What happens then?



STATEMENTS COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP

1. If you only have 20 people on the CP, you won't represent the Community
2. You need sufficient people on the CP to be reflective of the Community
3. It says you are going to decide the voting process and you (CP members) could end up deciding the voting is for the 20 CP member only!
4. I don't believe that the CP is reflective of the Community at present!
5. I wonder why you have no Southern Communities
6. The interested Parties on the Working Group were only in it for themselves!



STATEMENTS GDF DEVELOPER

1. NDA messaging needs to change, like it's a 'done deed' in Copeland.
2. There is a drive from government that we need more Nuclear power going forward
3. You are in the process of buying land
4. You are part of the NDA!
5. RWM is part of LLWR You are a subsidiary of the Company (NDA) and you aren't answering any of our questions!
6. You hiding behind the GDF!
7. No explanation has been given by NDA why they are drilling boreholes!
8. The Policy which the decisions are made, need to be written now



STATEMENTS

COMMUNITY INVESTMENT FUND

1. The Million pound might encourage people to vote for the process without understanding the geology



STATEMENTS GEOLOGY

1. There is not suitable geology in this area, and high probability it's NOT suitable – I studied Geology!
2. Geology: We need to look at other areas where geology is correct!
3. Something needs to be done about this waste but only in suitable geology!
4. I don't think you will have feasible geology here!
5. Sea levels are rising all the time and may impact the entrance to the GDF
6. We know the geology is NOT right here !
7. I agree with the GDF it needs to be built in adequate geology and near as possible to Sellafield.
8. I am very sceptic about the process, I don't care if it's here if the geology is right, just that you have a fair process.
9. You seem very confident that the geology is going to Ok offshore!
10. Both previous processes were rejected because the geology wasn't right!
11. I worked for a drilling company for 20 years and the land is very fractured!
12. There was a Professor Smythe involved in the previous process and he said he couldn't understand the water flow because the fault in the ground was so bad!
13. You have to put the waste in the very best geology!
14. Siting: We are right next to the National Park – we already have an eyesore with Sellafield site and now you want to extend this !!



STATEMENTS

MARINE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY (SEISMIC)

1. We need to know about seismic survey.



STATEMENTS CONSTRUCTION/SITING

1. Encapsulate it here, away from the National Park which has a natural flow of water and then send somewhere else in the Country.
2. Common Sense would suggest that Copeland is the best place for the GDF
3. We need a GDF somewhere in the UK as we need a long term solution to this waste
4. I think the site in Lincolnshire is the best place for it!
5. I'm concerned about the building of it, noise, lorries etc
6. It needs to be done, I am just worried about the disturbance of the build i.e. noise, traffic
7. You are digging in the coalmine, aren't you?
8. The Government should do the same as they have done with Chernobyl and encase it
9. It's a big waste of time and money until you have dug a hole!
10. You don't need fancy offices on the head works



STATEMENTS INVENTORY

1. Once it's built it will be a nuclear dumping ground for Europe!
2. Once that thing is built you will loose control of this process and what goes in it !
3. It will leak out!
4. You could just keep building stores at Sellafield if we don't have confidence that it is safe
5. You can't put the waste in a GDF, seal it and then not monitor it!! It needs to be monitored!
6. So this GDF is a new prototype so let's test it on West Cumbria !



STATEMENTS INFRASTRUCTURE/ECONOMY

1. Once it's built it will be a nuclear dumping ground for Europe!
2. Once that thing is built you will lose control of this process and what goes in it !
3. It will leak out!
4. You could just keep building stores at Sellafield if we don't have confidence that it is safe
5. You can't put the waste in a GDF, seal it and then not monitor it!! It needs to be monitored!
6. So this GDF is a new prototype so let's test it on West Cumbria !
7. People will complain about this but they complained about Sellafield and it does bring jobs into the area.
8. The current apprenticeship provider in this area is not good enough for this area!
9. In the long term the GDF jobs will only be temporary then when its closed the jobs will go and we will have nothing!
10. The Contractors at Sellafield only come Mon-Fri and then they go home so no income into the Community so we obviously don't need jobs here!
11. We want no bypass through Seascale and no bypass through Drigg!
12. There is a problem with transport round here!
13. You are aware of the EDF issues with regards to the rising suicide rate in the nuclear industry!



STATEMENTS PVP

1. We had all this with Nugen, had compulsory purchase conversations and it was horrendous
2. I want to speak to PVP scheme SME's at next events



STATEMENTS COMMUNITY

1. I'm not against this, I've lived here all my life. I think the waste should remain here
2. Mid Copelands Community is stupid and will vote for this!
3. You WILL have opposition and we will get others involved!
4. First time round when you tried this, Margaret Thatcher didn't want it!
5. We don't want to force it on other communities if they don't want it!
6. When they say they will work with the community it usually means the government is telling the community what they plan to do
7. I saw information on MRWS and the community were not involved
8. People round here think the decision has been already made , this needs explained to them
9. The local Community needs protected if a GDF is built
10. Lived in the village for 30 years and this should have been done ages ago. I think this is right thing to do!
11. 25years ago the Nirex said Low level waste and intermediary waste was going in a GDF !
12. The Drigg Community is blighted by LLWR!
13. Yes people want it but not on our doorstep!
14. As long as its not here!
15. LLWR has ruined our road into the village (Drigg)
16. Lincolnshire don't seem keen either!
17. You could have a test of public support now!
18. Steve Reece said that the TOPS could be overturned!
19. There are lots of reasons why it should be the right place. I get the need, but I don't like a 'forgone' conclusion
20. You need to document a fair process and put forward the right to veto.
21. Very few Communities have been put forward!
22. You need to consult the Community, don't do to us what Moorside did!
23. I'm all for this!
24. I hope this happens here (West Cumbria) because it will make a big difference to the area!
25. We need for more young people in this area!
26. We need to make sure our young people stay in the Community!
27. I already know all about this, my husband holds a senior consultancy position within the NDA and has been involved in GDF in Dubai.
28. I am concerned about this; I don't think it should be here!
29. I live in Frizington and I am glad you are not looking to build there!
30. I have been speaking to people in the village and I can't get over how blasé they are about living so close to a nuclear facility.
31. We are already getting waste delivered here, so we know how to move it safely!
32. There are other areas in the UK that could host the GDF



STATEMENTS ENGAGEMENT

1. We would welcome talks to our groups i.e., Rotary, Gosforth Show Committee etc
2. We would like a technical talk to our Scientific group
3. No leaflets through doors about the drop ins !
4. You need to bring NDA and LLWR into these events....they need to come and answer our questions!
5. I don't like the banner with the girl with the ripped jeans and little girl, it's touchy feely, which I don't like and especially next to the banner with the illustrations of the GDF.
6. Don't like LLWR's glossy brochures and would rather just know what they are doing !!
7. We need an extra line on the map inshore , especially on the website, as it is not clear enough