



## Working in Partnership Copeland

### Minutes of the 6th Meeting of the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership

**Held at** Calderbridge Village Hall

**On** Monday 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2022

**Commencing at** 14.00

#### PRESENT:

Mark Cullinan	Chair
CLlr David Moore	Copeland Borough Council, Councillor & Nuclear Portfolio Holder
Andy Pratt	Cumbria District Association of Local Councils (CALC), Chair
David Halliday	Seascale Parish Council
Mark Fussell	Gosforth Parish Council Chair
Julie Nugent	Beckermet with Thornhill Parish Council Chair
Bob Jones	Ponsonby Parish Council Chair
Gillian Johnston	GDF Team, Community Engagement Manager – Mid Copeland Community Partnership

#### Supporting Attendees:

Sam King	GDF Team, Head of Community Engagement and Site Evaluation – Agenda Item 2/3
Bruce Cairns	GDF Team, Chief Policy Advisor – Agenda Item 2/3
Justin Chamberlain	GDF Team, Head of Campaigns – Agenda Item 4
Phil Green	GDF Team, Project Manager – Agenda Item 9
Gill Thorne	GDF Team, Communications Lead
Helen Conway	GDF Team, Grants Manager
Claire Dobson	GDF Team, Community Coordinator
Sue Shepherd	GDF Team, Community Coordinator
Jonathan Cook	Copeland Borough Council, Officer

#### In attendance:

Karen Agnew	GDF Team, Secretariat Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership
-------------	--

#### APOLOGIES:

Serife Gunal	Traverse, Independent Evaluators
John Jennings	Drigg and Carleton Parish Council Chair
Barnaby Hudson	GDF Team, Site Evaluation Manager

#### AGENDA 1: WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS TO INCLUDE DOI, MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING AND ACTION POINTS

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting. It was agreed that the previous Minutes were an accurate record and were approved by the members.

Ref 210322	MEETING ACTION LOG	
21032201 01	Flowchart which demonstrates the route of responsibility within GDF Developer Team be distributed to Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership members. <b>230522 Completed and hard copies issued to Community Partnership members</b>	SLT CEM
21032201 02	The GDF Developer Team will produce a clearer brief regarding the distinction between NWS/LLWR/GDF Developer.	SLT CEM

	<b>Ongoing</b>	
21032204 06	It will be made clear on the website that individuals are not required to be members of Mid Copeland Community Partnership in order to submit views and questions. <b>This has been requested by the Comms Lead and will be actioned. 230522 Completed</b>	Comms Lead
21032204 07	The Community Engagement Team will continue to work on the Community Members Presentation and will share with the Community Partnership members in April. <b>Ongoing</b>	CET
21032206 13	The Community Engagement Manager will liaise with the GDF Developer to ensure that unlicensed sea users will be made aware of the Seismic Survey and their concerns addressed. <b>Once the FIR is in post, they will liaise with sea users</b>	CEM
21032208 14	CBC and CALC representatives will liaise with their organisation to clarify the named seat holder in the ToR and the appropriateness of being able to select deputies. <b>This is ongoing, the Exec have been made aware and will be covered in the May meeting. CALC and CBC confirmed that they are the named seat holders.</b>	CBC CALC
25042201 01	Overview of Grant Funding comparison to be issued to Community Partnership members <b>Completed and included in Meeting Minutes 250422</b>	Grants Manager
25042202 02	Size of scouting vessel to be confirmed and shared with Community Partnership members <b>Completed</b>	Comms Lead
25042202 03	Community Partnership members to advise the Secretariat if they wish to attend the Regulator meeting <b>Completed and invitation sent</b>	All
25042202 04	Community Partnership members to liaise with Secretariat with questions and topics suggestions for the Regulators <b>Completed and forwarded to Chair and CEM</b>	All
25042202 05	Secretariat will add the dates of the visit to the Community Partnership Members calendars, this will include a link to the website. <b>Email issued to Community Partnership members with link to website, also included in Meeting Minutes 250422. Dates to be added to calendars once further information is received.</b>	Secretariat
25042202 06	Additional profiling of funding applications to provide the Community Partnership members with the required information to make a decision. <b>Completed</b>	Grants Manager CEM
25042202 07	Community Engagement Team will provide Community Partnership members with a complete list of all scheduled events <b>Completed</b>	Community Coordinators
25042203 08	Secretariat to update calendar invitations with the details of the next Community Partnership meeting location when confirmed. <b>Completed</b>	Community Coordinator Secretariat

## AGENDA 2: ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK

### ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK

Context around the Accountability Framework was given to the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership members, the Deputy Chief Executive / Major Capital Programmes Director for NWS was confirmed as the new Senior Responsible Owner (SRO) for the GDF programme. The accountability framework discussion covered the following aspects, starting at the broadest national level and focussing down from there.

### Government Policy and Programme Management

The GDF Facility Programme is a UK Government Programme, on the Government Major Project Portfolio. As such it has a Senior Responsible Owner (SRO) who is accountable directly to the UK Parliament. This is currently Karen Wheeler, Deputy Chief Executive/Major Capital Programmes Director of Nuclear Waste Services (NWS). This means Government Programme governance must be followed via Department of Business



Energy and Industrial Strategy Project and Investment Committee, Cabinet Office, Treasury etc and accountability for spending public money (Public Accounts Committee etc). The UK Government has set out the siting process to deliver a GDF in England and Wales in the Working with Communities Policy, this includes the role of the GDF Developer, Relevant Principal Local Authority (RPLA), Working Group/Community Partnership members and the Community Partnership Chair with a direct decision making role for potential host communities.

The NDA has consulted on and set out strategy to deliver on all of its responsibilities. Ultimately these bodies are accountable for the public money that they spend in delivering public programme. The GDF Developer is spending public money so needs to be accountable for that and responsible for procurement and contract enforcement, including performance of contractors and delivering value for money for the taxpayer. The GDF Developer is responsible for implementing the policy set out by UK Government, whilst this gives us all a good deal of flexibility, judicial review could be brought against the Government if we do not operate within the terms of that policy.

**Major Permissions**

A GDF is designated as a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) covered by the Planning Act 2008. The developer will therefore need to meet all the requirements of the Development Consent Order planning process in order to gain planning consent, including in relation to consultation. A GDF will be a licenced nuclear site so the developer will also need to meet all the requirements of the independent Office for Nuclear Regulation (ONR) to demonstrate that a facility will operate safely and securely in order to hold a nuclear site licence. The GDF developer will also need to meet all the requirements of the Environment Agency (EA) to secure all the permits needed in relation to construction, emplacement of waste and final closure of a facility, including in relation to post closure safety and environmental protection for the long term. There will be various other legal and regulatory requirements that the developer of a GDF will need to meet throughout the process of investigating sites, construction, operating and closing a facility.

**How we will work with Communities**

Working with Communities Policy requires both a willing host community and a suitable site. To deliver a GDF, we will work in partnership with communities. The GDF Developer will always be open and transparent with flexible processes as we adapt to the communities needs over time.

**Siting Process**



**What is a Community Partnership**

The Community Partnership will provide a vehicle for sharing information with the community and for finding answers to the questions the community may have about geological disposal, the siting process and how they, as a community, could benefit if it is to be successful, it will be important for a Community Partnership to reflect, both in its composition and views, the community in the area being discussed and be respectful of a wide range of opinions. In order for the Community Partnership to form and operate, at least one relevant principal local authority must agree to participate. A Community Partnership Agreement will be signed by the prospective members of the Community Partnership that will set out the principles of how the members of the Community Partnership will work together, how they will make any decisions deemed necessary and their



respective roles and responsibilities, including working cooperatively to move forward in the process and engage with the public in the area.

#### **Community Partnership Role**

- Facilitate discussion with the community.
- Identify relevant information that people in the Search Area and Potential Host Community want or need about the siting process.
- Be the key vehicle for community dialogue with the GDF Developer.
- Review and refine the boundaries of the Search Area as the GDF Developer investigations progress.
- Identify priorities for Community Investment Funding.
- Make recommendations to the relevant principal local authorities on the Community Partnership on whether to invoke the Right of Withdrawal and if and when to launch a Test of Public Support.
- Agree a Programme of Activities to develop the communities understanding of the siting process and the potential implications of hosting a GDF.
- Develop a community vision and consider the part a GDF may play in that vision.
- Monitor public opinion in relation to siting a GDF within the Search Area and the Potential Host Community.

#### **A Community Partnership Does**

- Decide on Community Partnership membership
- Select a Chair
- Develop and agree a Programme of Activities
- Agree changes to the Search Area
- Monitor public opinion on GDF
- Agree priorities for use of Community Investment Fund
- Establish subgroups and stakeholder forums as required
- Agree a mechanism for Test of Public Support
- Develop and agree a Community Vision
- Advise RPLA on timing for Test of Public Support
- Keep RPLAs which are not Community Partnership members informed of its work
- If Right of Withdrawal is used or Test of Public Support has a negative outcome, the Community Partnership will decide how/when the Community Partnership will cease to operate and evaluate Community Investment Funding outcomes and share lessons learned

#### **A Community Partnership Does Not**

- Commit to hosting a GDF
- Hold budget
- Directly instruct the supply chain
- Make technical decisions on GDF implementation
- Decide timing of Test of Public Support (RPLA members of Community Partnership do this)
- Trigger the Right of Withdrawal (RPLA members of Community Partnership do this)
- Apply for licences, permits or development consent, or make decisions about siting a GDF – it is not a legal entity with statutory responsibilities, although some of its members will be and will hold such responsibilities, which they must each meet (e.g. RPLAs, GDF Developer)

#### **Community Partnership Chair**

Community Partnership members are responsible for selecting a Chair. The Chair is responsible for ensuring that Community Partnership meetings and discussion are run appropriately. The Chair is responsible for ensuring that the work of the Community Partnership is fair, unbiased and reflects the needs of the community. The Chair is accountable to the Community Partnership in ensuring that they conduct their activities in line with Community Partnership Terms of Reference.

#### **Role of Community Partnership members**



Community Partnership members are responsible for complying with the Working with Communities policy and following the guidance set out in the Community Partnership Agreement.

Community Partnership members are responsible for supporting the development and delivery of a programme of activities to develop the community's understanding of the siting process and potential implications of hosting a GDF.

The Programme of Activities will include:

- Facilitating discussion with the community.
- Identifying relevant information that people in the Search Area and Potential Host Community want or need about the siting process
- Being the key vehicle for community dialogue with the GDF Developer
- Reviewing and refine the boundaries of the Search Area as the GDF Developer investigations progress
- Identifying priorities for Community Investment Funding
- Making recommendations to the relevant principal local authorities on the Community Partnership on whether to invoke the Right of Withdrawal and if and when to launch a Test of Public Support
- Developing a community vision and consider the part a GDF may play in that vision
- Monitoring public opinion in relation to siting a GDF within the Search Area and the Potential Host Community throughout the process

Community Partnership members are responsible for ensuring that issues of diversity and inclusivity are addressed through:

- An open and transparent process for selecting members

Aiming to have a Membership reflecting as many different aspects of the community as possible in the Search Area (and, once identified, the Potential Host Community). i.e. that reflect

- local special interests/diversity of issues identified through engagement
- the diversity of the area in terms of its population, communities, local characteristics
- Being respectful of a wide range of opinions
- Hearing the voices of the wider population in search area, including use of a stakeholder forum and media

### **Role of Relevant Principal Local Authority as Community Partnership Members**

Principal local authorities play a crucial role in respect of planning, infrastructure development and service provision. For this reason, and to ensure democratic accountability, the Government has decided that the Relevant Principal Local Authorities on the Community Partnership will be responsible for taking two key types of decisions

- Whether to seek to withdraw the community from the siting process through invoking the Right of Withdrawal.
- If or when to seek the communities views on whether it wishes to host a GDF – proceed with a Test of Public Support

Although the relevant principal local authorities will have the final say in relation to these two key decisions, they should involve other members of the Community Partnership in discussions on whether they intend to seek to withdraw the community from the process and the appropriate time to launch a Test of Public Support. Equally, the other members of the Community Partnership should be able to make recommendations to the relevant principal local authorities on the Community Partnership.

### **Role of the GDF Developer**

The GDF Developer NWS will work in partnership with communities and the principal local authorities that represent those communities, and is responsible for

- Providing answers to their questions, so the community can make an informed decision about whether to support a facility being developed in their area
- Helping the community access information from a range of resources, from its own technical and scientific teams, or from independent parties who can help to answer questions
- All technical and safety decisions and potential withdrawal from an area as siting process moves towards final site selection



- Sharing and discussing site evaluations in the search area and considering the impact of the results on the community
- Working with the Community Partnership to identify a community vision, and what this might mean for the significant additional investment package
- Producing guidance on how test of Public Support could operate but the Community Partnership is responsible for deciding how to approach it
- Providing Engagement Funding for Community Partnership operation/the Programme of Activities and monitoring against indicators detailed in the Programme of Activities
- The appropriate disbursement of Community Investment Funding in relation to grant awards
- Bearing the cost of administering Community Investment Funding
- Raising awareness of geological disposal and engage with interested parties and proactively encouraging interested parties and local communities to come forward and engage
- Managing the portfolio of communities i.e. withdraw from individual communities, for example for technical or other reasons that demonstrate there are no longer prospects of finding a suitable site, or to prioritise available funds across the communities in the siting process
- Making all attempts to address community concerns if rPLAs are considering withdrawing from the process and being transparent in its own considerations to withdraw from a community
- Undertaking further investigations to inform the safety case. In the first instance these investigations would likely be nonintrusive in nature via airborne and ground-based surveys to build on the existing understanding of the geology in any given area
- Determining whether deep investigatory boreholes will need to be drilled to carry out further testing of the geological conditions at depth (if there is continuing interest from the community and the GDF developer in pursuing siting at a particular location)
- Obtaining development consent for deep borehole investigations from the Secretary of State and Environmental Permits from the Environment Agency, including statutory consultation requirements.
- Seeking Secretary of State agreement on preferred site for GDF
- Applying for relevant planning and regulatory consents to enable its investigatory work.

#### **Engagement Funding – Public Information Provision**

Four of the nine functions of the Community Partnership under the Working with Communities policy are to (a) facilitate discussion (b) coordinate relevant information (c) drive dialogue and (d) monitor opinion

- These outputs and outcomes work on a continuum with residents of a Search Area: from awareness through to engagement through to possible support (given importance of protecting residents autonomy to make an informed choice)
- To deliver this continuum (and enable potential support) requires high levels of awareness and engagement through frequent, high-reach, multi-channel communications (including direct, paid, digital and engagement events) which are all subject to Cabinet Office controls for communications, marketing and advertising spend
- The GDF Developer submits an annual High Level Business Case to secure monies as part of the Government's Communications Single Budget (CSB), which is then followed by a Detailed Business Case subject to Professional Assurance (PASS) by Cabinet Office and approval by the Minister of the Constitution

Approvals of monies comes with three core conditions:

- Crown Commercial Services (CCS) approved suppliers only
- regular (triannual) monitoring of public opinion, and
- regular reporting of performance against business case Key Performance Indicators.

The GDF Developer has contracts for (2021-2025) (a) paid, direct, digital and engagement (including social value database of local supply chain) (b) regular monitoring and research and (c) HM Government's media buying agency OMD to deliver these services.

#### **Research and Insight Activities to date and going forward**

Progress in FY 21/22 has included



- In March 2021, preliminary qualitative research to provide working groups with initial insight into the views, concerns, hopes, priorities, and requirements of Copeland & Allerdale residents, as well as residents' priorities for search area considerations
- In May 2021, a detailed telephone survey of Copeland & Allerdale residents, which established residents' awareness and understanding of geological disposal, views of geological disposal, awareness of communications activity and priorities for search area considerations
- In October 2021, a further 'pulse' survey of Copeland & Allerdale residents, which tracked levels of awareness and understanding and media recall

To support Programme of Activities planning it would be useful to discuss what research and insight would be helpful during the 2022-23 period. For example, potential activities could include surveying residents of the Search Area wards to track awareness and measure effectiveness of communications activity, Occasional qualitative research to provide detailed insight into the views, Ad-hoc stakeholder research or other research to further support the Community Partnership in its work.

Questions were invited.

A Community Partnership member questioned the chain of accountability regarding budget and budget holders. It was confirmed that the budget for this work flows through the overall NDA budget. In addition to securing the annual funding required through the budget the GDF Developer must also prepare and submit various levels of business case as part of the normal process for managing major Government programmes. Put simply, we need to secure the actual funding within each spending review but also maintain our approvals for how it can be used. For example, there is an overall programme level business case establishing approval to proceed with planned expenditure on the GDF Programme, with various sub-programme and project level business cases then being required over the years to gain approval for the more detailed levels of work on particular projects and activities as the programme makes progress. Should the spend requirement or approach to delivery change to something beyond what has been approved the GDF Developer must produce an updated business case for re-approval. Depending on the subject matter and scale of expenditure these business cases can go to NDA, beyond to the BEIS Programme and Investments Committee or on even further to HM Treasury and Cabinet Office for approval. This is the same framework within which all major Government programmes have to operate and so is not unique to GDF.

The timeline of the GDF programme was also discussed and it was confirmed that the policy remains flexible with regards to this.

It was confirmed that whilst crown commercial services contractors have to be used, it was possible and preferred that local services and contractors were sub-contracted to deliver in practice, where possible, and a social value database has been created and further work will be undertaken on this and shared with Community Partnership members.

It was agreed that the information relating to the Test of Public Support would be made available in one place for ease of access.

It was confirmed that the Community Partnership had the freedom to choose to have information produced by the GDF Developer independently reviewed as this is covered in Policy, the GDF Developer would also carry out formal peer reviews and this information would be available to the Community Partnership.

#### Action

- **Social Value Database will be finalised and shared with Community Partnership members.**

#### Action

- **The information regarding the Test of Public Support will be collated in one place for ease of access.**



### **AGENDA 3: LOCAL GOVERNMENT REORGANISATION**

There will be electoral ward boundary changes which impact Copeland and Allerdale. The current Community Partnerships are based on existing district electoral ward boundaries which is in line with Policy. There was discussion regarding the implications that the boundary changes could bring, and it was agreed that the focus is and will continue to be the people in the communities and the clear sense of place, with two distinct communities within the current Copeland area separated by the geographical reality of the excluded Lake District National Park. It was also clarified that the Lake District National Park, whilst technically in the policy definition of Search Area, due to the large size of electoral wards, remains excluded from the Area of Consideration. The new electoral ward boundaries will not take effect until April 2023 and the total transition period for the new Authority could take a number of years. Whilst no immediate decision is required, the GDF Developer will provide analysis of available options regarding Search Areas and the potential impact to Community Investment Funding to the Community Partnership members for discussion and the Community Partnership will look to agree how to address public questions in the meantime.

It is a requirement of Government Policy that the Search Area is based on district, county or unitary electoral ward boundaries. Whilst we have to use these ward boundaries, the Community Partnership can exclude areas from consideration e.g. National Park, which remain within the Search Area, eligible for funding and included in the Test of Public Support. It is also within the remit of the Community Partnership to define funding priorities.

The NDA, in consultation with the GDF Developer, has sent a short email to the new Council inviting a conversation. A letter has been sent on behalf of the Community Partnerships from South Copeland, Mid Copeland and Allerdale.

#### **Action**

- **The GDF Developer will present potential options regarding the inclusion/exclusion of wards within each Community Partnership for discussion with members.**

### **AGENDA 4: MID COPELAND SURVEYING**

The Mid Copeland surveying proposed plan was shared with Community Partnership members and discussed. It was clarified that a proposed baseline survey would take place face to face and be carried out by a Cumbrian based supplier. The Community Partnership members felt that it would be beneficial to review the proposed questions, the intended locations as well as effectively advise the communities that a survey would be taking place.

#### **Action**

- **The actions will be coordinated by the Community Engagement Manager and the Campaigns team and brought back to the next Community Partnership meeting.**

#### **Action**

- **The Head of Campaigns will liaise with Yonder to establish the details (where and how) of the engagement plan**

### **AGENDA 5: COMMS AND EXHIBITION FEEDBACK**

#### **EXHIBITIONS FEEDBACK**

The feedback from the events was neutral to positive and the themes of the questions and statements was quite different to previous engagement events which could demonstrate the changing perception to GDF, and a greater understanding of the information provided, particularly regarding Geology. From feedback, it has been agreed that additional visual exhibits are currently being sourced.





#### RECRUITMENT

The application process opened on 9<sup>th</sup> May 2022 and closes 1<sup>st</sup> July 2022, interviews will take place week commencing 18<sup>th</sup> July 2022. It was discussed and agreed that a letter advising of the membership recruitment would be sent to existing stakeholders and there will be a recruitment post on social media.

#### COMMS

The recent communications campaign around the Ask the Experts events was discussed and an outline given of potential future communications looking ahead. Misinformation on social media was also discussed and it was clarified that it is looked at on a case by case basis, with future communications addressing incorrect information. The Community Partnership members also requested information and assurances relating to the environmental case and justification for Marine Geophysical Surveying. The GDF Developer Team and Community Engagement Manager will provide the information as well as include this as an Agenda Item at the next Community Partnership Meeting. The Community Partnership members were made aware of a planned protest, and it was requested that the local council be made aware. The future planned communications were discussed with the Community Partnership members.

#### Action

- **The Community Engagement Manager will liaise with the GDF Developer to ensure that local councils are made aware of a planned protest.**

#### Action

- **The Comms Lead will provide the Community Partnership members with the link to the MMO website and how to access relevant documents regarding Marine Geophysical Surveys**

#### Action

- **The Stakeholder Relations Team will be invited to attend the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership meeting on the 4<sup>th</sup> July 2022.**

#### Action

- **The Community Engagement Manager will provide the relevant assurances regarding the environmental safety case for the Marine Geophysical Surveying licences.**

#### Decision

- **A link to the Community Partnership recruitment application form will be included in Social Media Post**

#### **AGENDA 6: COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP VISION STATEMENT**

Due to time constraints, this item will be covered at the next meeting, Community Partnership members were issued with hard copies of the presentation.

#### **AGENDA 7: CIF UPDATE**

To date, 71K has been awarded over 4 projects with a further 390K in assessment stage for 8 possible projects. The pipeline tracks applications from enquiry to outcome and covers the current spending year. The early trend for funding has been around community and play facilities however there is diversity within the applications. Some applications have been referred back to Parish Councils due to a lack of information or that landowner involvement is required.

#### **AGENDA 8: PROJECT MANAGEMENT**

The Project Manager introduced himself and explained the background to his supporting role. This role provides the wider GDF Developer Team and Community Partnership access to his support, which includes the Programme of Activity.

**AGENDA 9: AOB**

It was proposed and agreed that the Programme of Activity will a focus of the agenda for the next Community Partnership meeting.

**AGENDA 10: DATE, TIME AND LOCATION OF NEXT MEETING**

Date 4<sup>th</sup> July 2022  
 Time 15.30 – 17.30  
 Location Seascale Golf Club (tbc)

**Action**

- **The Community Engagement Team will secure a booking with Seascale Golf Club**

• REF 230522	MEETING ACTION LOG	
23052202 01	Accountability Framework Social Value Database will be finalised and shared with Community Partnership members	Community Engagement Team
23052202 02	Accountability Framework The information regarding the Test of Public Support will be collated in one place by the GDF Developer for ease of access	GDF Developer Community Engagement Manager
23052203 03	Local Government Reorganisation The GDF Developer will present potential options regarding the inclusion/exclusion of wards within each Community Partnership for discussion with members.	GDF Developer Community Engagement Manager
23052204 04	Mid Copeland Surveying The Head of Campaigns will liaise with Yonder to establish the details (where and how) of the engagement plan	Head of Campaigns
23052205 05	Comms and Exhibition Feedback The Community Engagement Manager will liaise with the GDF Developer to ensure that local councils are made aware of a planned protest.	Community Engagement Manager Comms Lead
23052205 06	Comms and Exhibition Feedback The Comms Lead will provide the Community Partnership members with the link to the MMO website and how to access relevant documents regarding Marine Geophysical Surveys	Comms Lead
23052205 7	Comms and Exhibition Feedback The Stakeholder Relations Team will be invited to attend the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership meeting on the 4 <sup>th</sup> July 2022	Community Engagement Manager
23052205 8	Comms and Exhibition Feedback The Community Engagement Manager will provide the relevant assurances regarding the environmental safety case for the Marine Geophysical Surveying licences	Community Engagement Manager



23052205 9	Comms and Engagement Feedback The Community Engagement Manager will provide the relevant assurances regarding the environmental safety case for the Marine Geophysical Surveying licences	Community Engagement Manager
23052210 10	AOB Community Engagement Team to book Seascale Golf Club for next Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership Meeting	Community Engagement Coordinators
<b>Ref 230522</b>	<b>MEETING DECISION LOG</b>	
23052205	Comms and Exhibition Feedback A link to the Community Partnership Recruitment Application form will be included in Social Media Post	
23052210	AOB The Seismic team will join the Mid Copeland GDF Community Partnership meeting on 4 <sup>th</sup> July 2022	